



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/487,868	01/20/2000	Masahiko Kikuzawa	35.C14184	3671	
5514 7	590 11/15/2004	EXAMINER			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			HENN, TIMOTHY J		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2612	a	
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DI

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/487,868	KIKUZAWA, MASAI	HIKO			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Timothy J Henn	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate extending fee. The appropriate extending for action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 4 and 12.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-11 and 13-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	₩.	NOV R. GARBER ORY PATENT EXAMINATED TO LOGY CENTER 260	JER D			
	TECHN	Or .				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/487,868

Application No.





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments to claims 1, 9 and 17 alter the scope of the claims by removing a previously used alternative interpretation (see response to arguments in paper number 7). These amendments require further consideration and/or search.